

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/728,693	12/05/2003	Stephen H. Albertson	TRACKER.1CIDVI	9989	
20995	7590 05/18/2004		EXAMINER		
KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP			WILSON, NEILL R		
2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
IRVINE, CA 92614			3677		

DATE MAILED: 05/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/728,693	ALBERTSON ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Neill R. Wilson	3677					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 A</u>	<u>oril 2004</u> .						
2a)☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.	:					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9,13 and 44-56</u> is/are pending in the	e application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-9,13 and 44-56</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ Claim(s) 3 is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	2					
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
11) The path of declaration is objected to by the Ex	anniner. Note the attached Office	, Action of format 10-102.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		;					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). 12 or (f). 13 or (f). 14 or (f). 15							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	,						
1. Certified copies of the priority document							
2. Certified copies of the priority document							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	√ (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	oate					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5)  Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/5/03.  U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	٠, <u>١</u> ٥, ١, ١, ١, ١, ١, ١, ١, ١, ١, ١, ١, ١, ١,						
	ction Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 4					

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claimed variety of structures such as "nails, bolts, staples, rivets, etc." are alternative structures, so there is no definite structure claimed.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 4-9, 13 and 44-56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dickson.

Dickson discloses the concept of providing plural indicator marks on the outer head end of a variety of different types of fasteners (see col. 3, first paragraph) that indicate a variety of properties or dimensions of the fasteners. Dickson also discloses that at least one of the indicators can be in the form of a painted material or plastic layer

bonded to the fastener head. The painted material or plastic layer will inherently have a color.

A person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, after review of the teaching of Dickson, would have obviously chosen a color for the painted layer or plastic layer that shows up (or can be recognized by a person of normal vision) at a predetermined distance (such as 18 feet) from the fastener. This is particularly true in view of the disclosure by Dickson that the indicator marks can be viewed by a building inspector (to identify or make sure that the proper fasteners are used).

#### **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1, 5-9, 13, 44 and 45 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-11 of U.S. Patent No. 6,095,739. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because similar in scope.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 3 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

The following are suggested formats for either a Certificate of Mailing or Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). The certification may be included with all correspondence concerning this application or proceeding to establish a date of mailing or transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). Proper use of this procedure will result in such communication being considered as timely if the established date is within the required period for reply. The Certificate should be signed by the individual actually depositing or transmitting the correspondence or by an individual who, upon information and belief, expects the correspondence to be mailed or transmitted in the normal course of business by another no later than the date indicated.

## **Certificate of Mailing**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:	States Postal Service with
Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450	
on (Date)	•
Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:	-

#### **Certificate of Transmission**

	y that this corresp fice, Fax No. (703			Inited States P	atent and
Typed or print	ed name of perso	on signing this co	ertificate:		
Signature:	*				

Please refer to 37 CFR 1.6(d) and 1.8(a)(2) for filing limitations concerning facsimile transmissions and mailing, respectively.

A response to this action can be filed by Fax (use Fax No. 703-872-9326).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Neill R. Wilson whose telephone number is 703-308-0164. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Swann can be reached on 703-306-4115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/728,693

Art Unit: 3679

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

NRW 5/13/04

> Neill Wilson Primary Examiner